

**United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington**  
**Magistrate Judge James A. Goeke**  
**Spokane**

**USA v. YURIY P. ANISHCHENKO**      **Case No. 2:22-CR-0057-TOR-1**

**Arraignment on Superseding Indictment:**

**02/14/2023**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Melissa Orosco, Courtroom Deputy                                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dan Fruchter, US Atty           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Patrick J. Dennis, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tyler Tornabene, US Atty        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> out of custody | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Molly Winston, Defense Atty     |
|  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter <b>NOT REQUIRED</b> |

- 
- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant continued detained                           |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conditions of release as previously imposed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of Superseding Indictment  |   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of Superseding Indictment |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Superseding Indictment read in open court                     |   |

**REMARKS**

Defendant appeared, out of custody, with counsel and acknowledged to the Court that his true and correct name is YURIY P. ANISHCHENKO.

Defendant was advised of his rights and the allegations contained in the Superseding Indictment.

“Not guilty” plea entered.

Counsel has been appointed to represent Defendant and that appointment is confirmed.

Detention previously addressed and issue does not need to be revisited at this time.

**The Court ordered:**

1. Discovery to be provided according to Local Rules on discovery.
2. Oral order issued confirming the Government’s disclosure obligations under the Due Process Protections Act and the possible consequences of violation of said order.
3. Conditions of release previously heard and determined. *Pre-existing conditions of release* will remain in full force.